## Storm Water Construction General Permit Inspection Report

RWQCB - Region 5S

WDID # 5S03C337319	(8.79° 2.25°)		County: Amador		
Del Rapini Const Inc Owner's Name			Pine Grove Bluffs Name of Development		
28555 Rollins Lake Rd	Augusta i Swister				
Owner's Street Address			Developer Contact and Phone NC#		
Colfax, CA 95713			Ridge Road & Hwy 88		
Owner's City, State and Zip code			Site Address		
Del Rapini 530-389-8002			Pine Grove, CA 95665		
Owner's contact person and phone #			Site City, State, and Zip Code		
Rich Muhl			1/24/2009		
Inspection Conducted By			Date of Inspection Time of Insp	ection	
Dry Hot Clear X Overcast Cold Raining Weather Conditions During Inspection (circle all that apply)			Status of Construction		
Outreach Ins Discharger/F Follow-up to Other	acility Req		* Date of Previous Inspection  Control Measures Checklist:		
Storm Water Samples Collected?		Х	Yes - Evident on inspection No - Non evident	on inspection	
	Yes	No	Areas of Concern: Yes	No	
Non-Storm Water Discharge or Evidence		· ·	Evidence of erosion? X		
of Non-Storm Water Discharge Observed?	Yes	<u> </u>	(hills, gullies, slips) Dirt/sediment tracked in streets?	X	
Separate Inspection Report Written?		X	Evidence of dewatering?	X	
	Yes	No			
			Other		
Updated SWPPP on Site?	X	162000	The SWPPP was not reviewed		
	Yes	No			
Inspection Summary (complete only if no sepa					
erosion control BMPs in many areas o	luded the	e general ject, poor	lack of an effective combination of sedin ly protected drain inlets and turbid storm	water	
		The state of the s	e inspection photographs). The inspect which occurred the night before the insp	A Section of the sect	
		>			
Signature	-		Date Entered: Entered By:		

Senior Review: 54M



**Figure 1**: One of the many areas where soil is slumping on the steep slopes on the northern side of the project



Figure 2: Overview of one portion of the project



**Figure 3**: Overview of another portion of the project



**Figure 4**: Lack of an effective combination of erosion and sediment control BMPs



Figure 5: Lack of effective BMPs on the slopes and lack of BMPs in a defined drainage channel



**Figure 6**: Lack of an effective combination of erosion and sediment control BMPs



Figure 7: Lack of an effective combination of erosion and sediment control BMPs



**Figure 8**: Partially protected slope Note: the turbid discharge leaving the site which flows directly under the roadway and into the creek



Figure 9: Poorly protected slopes



**Figure 10**: Lack of an effective combination of erosion and sediment control BMPs on a portion of the project



**Figure 11:** Lack of an effective combination of erosion and sediment control BMps on another portion of the project



**Figure 12**: Lack of an effective combination of erosion and sediment control BMPs on still another portion of the project



**Figure 13**: Inadequate BMPs at a location where storm water flows from the site into a down drain which directly flows under the roadway and into the creek



**Figure 14**: Inadequate BMPs at another one of the discharge areas



Figure 15: Storm water discharge from the site entering the culvert which flows under the highway and directly into the creek



**Figure 16**: Storm water flowing on the site along Ridge Road



**Figure 17**: Poorly protected drain inlet along Ridge Road



Figure 18: Another view of the poorly protectd drain inlet



Figure 19: Another view of the same area



Figure 21: Still another view of the same area



Figure 23: Storm water from the site mixing in the creek at one of the discharge locations Note: the storm water from the site is on the left hand side of the photograph



Figure 20: Ponded storm water around another drain inlet



**Figure 22**: Lack of an effective combination of erosion and sediment control BMPs on another portion of the project



**Figure 24**: Storm water from the site mixing in the creek at another discharge location Note: the storm water from the site is on the left hand side of the photograph



Figure 25: Another view of the same area